25 Point Fireground Command Health Check-up[©]

1.	There was one, clearly defined IC at all times during the fire.	Yes	No
2.	IC was passed only when necessary and only after a briefing.	Yes	No
3.	IC (or a designee) completed a 360 degree size-up of the incident.	Yes	No
4.	IC refrained from performing firefighting/tactical duties.	Yes	No
5.	IC remained far enough from the building that ensured a big picture view.	Yes	No
6.	IC developed and communicated an appropriate strategy.	Yes	No
7.	IC gave assignments to companies and coordinated fireground activities.	Yes	No
8.	IC maintained accountability of personnel (location, crew size, actions).	Yes	No
9.	Incident communications were clear and concise.	Yes	No
10.	Additional resources were called using a pre-established system of notification.	Yes	No
11.	Tactics were properly matched to the size of the fire (i.e., big fire=big water)	Yes	No
12.	IC maintained a broad perspective of the overall incident.	Yes	No
13.	IC was able to think ahead of the fire (predict where the fire was going).	Yes	No
14.	Radio traffic was disciplined and manageable.	Yes	No
15.	Someone was assigned to monitor every radio channel (talkgroup) in use.	Yes	No
16.	Every communication from interior crews was heard the first time transmitted.	Yes	No
17.	There was adequate staffing to carry out the strategy safely and effectively.	Yes	No
18.	As fire conditions changed, so did the strategy and tactics.	Yes	No
19.	Progress reports were clear, concise, accurate, timely and informative.	Yes	No
20.	There were no conflicting orders or conflicting tactics.	Yes	No
21.	Organizational culture did not influence the strategy, tactics or operations.	Yes	No
22.	An Incident Safety Officer was assigned and performed duties appropriately.	Yes	No
23.	There was sufficient water (hand lines and supply lines) to accomplish the tactics.	Yes	No
24.	Firefighters were adequately trained to perform their assignments.	Yes	No
25.	Proper SOPs/SOGs were established, implemented, communicated and followed.	Yes	No